EXHIBIT 2

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO
4	X
5	CRISTA RAMOS, et al., :
6	Plaintiffs, : Case No.
7	v. : 3:18-cv-1554-
8	KIRSTJEN NIELSEN, et al., : EMC
9	Defendants. :
10	x
11	
12	
13	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
14	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
15	By and Through Its Corporate Designee
16	KATHY NUEBEL KOVARIK
17	Washington, D.C.
18	Friday, August 3, 2018
19	9:35 a.m.
20	
21	
22	
23	Job No.: LA-185448
24	Pages 1 - 289
25	Reported By: Joan V. Cain

Page 117

		7
1	after you provide that to him?	12:32:09
2	A He may have edits, questions. He may ask	12:32:10
3	for updated statistics because by that time it may	12:32:14
4	be stale or old and he sends his edits back through	12:32:19
5	our executive secretariat or electronically through	12:32:28
6	me or both. At which point my team, including my	12:32:30
7	subject matter experts, my senior advisor, and	12:32:35
8	myself would adjudicate those comments, make	12:32:37
9	changes, and send it back.	12:32:40
10	Q So he may have edits that he sends back and	12:32:41
11	comments that he sends back and it gets adjudicated	12:32:44
12	by you, your senior advisor is that Mr. Law?	12:32:48
13	A Yes.	12:32:51
14	Q and who else?	12:32:51
15	A And my subject matter experts, the three	12:32:53
16	that I mentioned.	12:32:55
17	Q Okay. And then it gets sent back to him	12:33:02
18	for either final sign-off or further edits?	12:33:04
19	A Right. Sometimes we might go back and	12:33:07
20	forth. Sometimes he may approve after one round of	12:33:10
21	edits. And then he clears it for our executive	12:33:14
22	secretariat to then present it generally to the I	12:33:18
23	believe the executive secretariat sends it to the	12:33:22
24	department's executive secretariat, so we each have	12:33:26
25	our own. So the executive secretariat is	12:33:29
1		1

KATHY NUEBEL KOVARIK - 08/03/2018 Page 118

		7
1	responsible for the transfer of that document to	12:33:35
2	ensure version control. The Office of General	12:33:37
3	Counsel would then receive it and review it, ensure	12:33:41
4	it for legal sufficiency. They may have edits.	12:33:45
5	It's sent back through executive secretariat	12:33:49
6	Q Sorry. Office of Chief Counsel you said	12:33:53
7	or	12:33:56
8	A Office of General Counsel.	12:33:56
9	Q General counsel. Okay. And, sorry, what	12:33:57
10	is the role that they play and when?	12:34:00
11	A They review it for legal sufficiency. They	12:34:03
12	may provide edits. I adjudicate those in concert	12:34:06
13	with our Office of Chief Counsel.	12:34:11
14	Q And at what point does the Office of	12:34:14
15	General Counsel view that review?	12:34:18
16	A After the director clears it and approves	12:34:20
17	for it to be sent up to the department, it's	12:34:23
18	reviewed by Office of General Counsel for legal	12:34:25
19	sufficiency.	12:34:27
20	Q Okay.	12:34:28
21	A Generally.	12:34:30
22	Q And then is there further review within the	12:34:33
23	department, or does the secre is it only	12:34:36
24	essentially at the level of secretary at that point	12:34:41
25	or something else entirely? So this at this	12:34:43

KATHY NUEBEL KOVARIK - 08/03/2018 Page 119

		7
1	point there's been reviews from various sources,	12:34:46
2	including Office of General Counsel, the director,	12:34:49
3	and the the earlier review methods that you	12:34:53
4	described.	12:34:58
5	A Yeah.	12:34:59
6	Q And then it's sent directly to the	12:34:59
7	secretary; is that correct?	12:35:01
8	A No. No.	12:35:01
9	Q Okay.	12:35:02
10	A So after we adjudicate comments with the	12:35:03
11	Office of General Counsel and we clear that and	12:35:05
12	everyone is is satisfied with the end document,	12:35:07
13	including our counsel and Office of General Counsel,	12:35:13
14	we then prepare it for the director to sign and	12:35:19
15	submit that to the department's front office, which	12:35:22
16	then there is another layer of review.	12:35:26
17	Q Before we get to that other layer of	12:35:30
18	review, you said that when everyone is satisfied,	12:35:34
19	then it's prepared for the director to sign. Who	12:35:36
20	is I mean, who is when you say everyone is	12:35:39
21	satisfied, do you mean everyone that you've	12:35:41
22	described, or are there other people that have to be	12:35:43
23	consulted in that decision?	12:35:46
24	A Everyone that I described, and and just	12:35:47
25	to make it clear that when I send it to the chief	12:35:50

Page 126

KATHY NUEBEL KOVARIK - 08/03/2018

		7
1	A I believe at the time it was population of	12:44:10
2	potential TPS beneficiaries, depending on if it was	12:44:15
3	redesignated or newly designated.	12:44:22
4	Q Okay. So they'll ask clarifying questions.	12:44:24
5	Are there other things that they do in coming back	12:44:26
6	to you during this process?	12:44:28
7	A They may ask about the recommendation and	12:44:30
8	why we got to where we did. Generally, it's a	12:44:33
9	conversation just to understand country conditions.	12:44:36
10	Q And is that	12:44:41
11	A It's very rare it's usually a	12:44:43
12	paper-based review. I'm just saying it's not it	12:44:46
13	wouldn't be surprising if they asked questions.	12:44:50
14	Q Uh-huh. Are those questions usually over	12:44:52
15	e-mail or by phone or in person?	12:44:55
16	A It could be both.	12:44:57
17	Q From that group of people that you	12:45:02
18	described who's involved in the review process, who	12:45:04
19	do you communicate with most prior to the secretary	12:45:08
20	sign-off?	12:45:11
21	A Well, in my role, because I am Office of	12:45:13
22	Policy, I would communicate with the senior advisor,	12:45:17
23	and norm I may also because I I help with	12:45:21
24	the adjudication of comments, I may may	12:45:28
25	communicate over e-mail with general counsel for	12:45:31

Page 127

KATHY NUEBEL KOVARIK - 08/03/2018

1	legal sufficiency issues.	12:45:34
2	Q Is there an in-person meeting with any	12:45:44
3	group of people after the director signs off	12:45:47
4	after the CIS director signs off?	12:45:52
5	A We touch base with the department just	12:45:56
6	mostly on process to say, hey, when is it coming,	12:46:00
7	but generally on the decision-making process, an	12:46:10
8	in-person meeting may be done between the secretary	12:46:14
9	and the director or the secretary and the chief of	12:46:17
10	staff and the senior advisor to discuss the decision	12:46:19
11	memo. It doesn't always happen, but it does happen.	12:46:23
12	Q Mm-hmm. What what documents are	12:46:28
13	generally produced by the State Department during	12:46:37
14	the TPS determination process?	12:46:39
15	A It is the attachment that you see on all	12:46:41
16	the decision memos. It is maybe a letter, a cover	12:46:45
17	letter along with an assessment.	12:46:49
18	Q Sorry. When you say the the attachment	12:46:52
19	on the decision memos is the cover letter and	12:46:54
20	additional attachment is the cover letter?	12:47:00
21	A From the Department of State, yeah.	12:47:02
22	Q And what are the attachments to the cover	12:47:04
23	letter from the Department of State?	12:47:06
24	A So the Department of State will send over	12:47:13
25	its assessment, but the cover letter is a letter	12:47:15

KATHY NUEBEL KOVARIK - 08/03/2018 Page 129

		7
1	Q Do you recall what it was?	12:48:29
2	A No.	12:48:30
3	Q Do you remember why it was included?	12:48:37
4	A It had to do with fraud fraud issues,	12:48:39
5	and TPS was mentioned in the cable.	12:48:48
6	Q Okay. Okay. So there's the documents that	12:48:50
7	have been provided by the State Department,	12:49:37
8	documents that have been provided by CIS, the review	12:49:38
9	with the advisor, the chief of staff, the deputy	12:49:43
10	director, general counsel of the department	12:49:46
11	A Mm-hmm.	12:49:50
12	Q there's maybe some consultation and back	12:49:50
13	and forth with you or your office. Is it generally	12:49:53
14	you or is it others in your office that are involved	12:49:55
15	in any responding to any questions that come up?	12:49:58
16	A The general counsel could talk to our chief	12:50:01
17	counsel because they work hand in hand on legal	12:50:03
18	issues, so they may talk. If I'm not available, the	12:50:05
19	senior advisor may call my senior advisor.	12:50:10
20	Q Mm-hmm. And then when will the well,	12:50:12
21	then what is provided ultimately to the secretary?	12:50:18
22	A One decision memo with attachments, and	12:50:20
23	those attachments vary like I mentioned.	12:50:23
24	Q Is it all of the things that you've	12:50:31
25	described with some variation? Is there anything	12:50:33

Page 130

		7
1	additional that is added to that?	12:50:35
2	A Well, it varies from country to country. I	12:50:37
3	generally laid out what is described in attachments.	12:50:40
4	Q Beyond the things that you've described	12:50:50
5	from the countries that are at issue here, are there	12:50:52
6	any additional documents that have been included in	12:50:54
7	those in the package? Is it fair to call that	12:50:56
8	the package that goes to the secretary?	12:50:59
9	A Yes. We've had ten decisions. I'm sure	12:51:01
10	there are documents that maybe I'm not even thinking	12:51:05
11	of, but generally what I've laid out is what is	12:51:07
12	attached to a decision memo.	12:51:09
13	Q Okay. If there were dissenting opinions	12:51:10
14	within the department or within CIS, would those be	12:51:13
15	included as well?	12:51:16
16	A They may be packaged by the executive	12:51:17
17	secretariat for the secretary's folder. Sorry, but	12:51:24
18	I'm not privy to what is put in her folder, but in	12:51:27
19	the USCIS decision packet, that is what is normally	12:51:30
20	included, what I've said.	12:51:36
21	Q Just to make sure that I'm clear on this	12:51:38
22	too, there is is there a separate USCIS decision	12:51:40
23	packet and a DHS decision packet, or when you're	12:51:46
24	talking about the decision packet, is that the same	12:51:47
25	thing maybe with additional things that are added in	12:51:50
1		1

Page 131

		1
1	the process?	12:51:51
2	A Let me yeah, that's a good question. To	12:51:51
3	clarify, there's a USCIS decision packet. The	12:51:51
4	secretary may receive a packet with additional stuff	12:51:54
5	that I'm not aware of, but Office of General Counsel	12:51:57
6	may do a review of the legal sufficiency or provide	12:52:01
7	more background in a a legal background on TPS.	12:52:06
8	Q Mm-hmm. And that's on the interpretation	12:52:13
9	of TPS, or what would that be on?	12:52:17
10	A I have never seen one so	12:52:20
11	Q Okay. That stays amongst the general	12:52:21
12	counsel and the Office of Chief Counsel?	12:52:24
13	A That stays between the general counsel and	12:52:26
14	the secretary.	12:52:29
15	Q And once the decision packet goes to the	12:52:40
16	DHS secretary, what are the next steps?	12:52:46
17	A The secretary is very thorough. She reads	12:52:49
18	the decision packet. If she has questions, she'll	12:52:53
19	ask for a meeting and they do a meeting. In most	12:52:58
20	instances, there is a meeting that takes place to go	12:53:04
21	over that country's condition.	12:53:09
22	Q Who sorry to interrupt. Who	12:53:11
23	participates in that meeting?	12:53:13
24	A It varies, but generally the director of	12:53:14
25	U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service, the	12:53:20

Page 164

		٦
1	same.	14:22:48
2	Q Okay. And that shift to sending the	14:22:48
3	Federal Register Notice to the OMB earlier, that was	14:22:55
4	a shift that you initiated?	14:22:57
5	A Yes.	14:22:58
6	Q When did you initiate that?	14:23:00
7	A I I believe it the first decision	14:23:08
8	that came when I was chief of the Office of Policy	14:23:09
9	was Haiti. I believe that it shifted after that	14:23:12
10	when we weren't sure what the decision was going to	14:23:22
11	be.	14:23:33
12	Q Did there have to be an approval for that	14:23:33
13	decision to not send the Federal Register Notice to	14:23:36
14	OMB earlier?	14:23:39
15	A No. I made that decision.	14:23:40
16	Q Were all stakeholders, including OMB but	14:23:53
17	also the other agencies, consulted at all in that	14:23:57
18	process in that shift in process?	14:23:59
19	A Yes. The Office of General Counsel was	14:24:02
20	consulted because they were used to seeing the	14:24:04
21	Federal Register Notice behind the decision memo.	14:24:06
22	Q And when you say Office of General Counsel,	14:24:09
23	do you mean the Office of General Counsel	14:24:11
24	A At the department of Homeland Security.	14:24:12
25	Q at the Department of Homeland Security?	14:24:14

Page 289

1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER-NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Joan V. Cain, Court Reporter, the officer
3	before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do
4	hereby certify that Kathy Nuebel Kovarik personally
5	appeared before me on August 3, 2018 and was duly
6	sworn; that the foregoing transcript is a true and
7	correct record of the testimony given; that said
8	testimony was taken by me stenographically and
9	thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
10	direction; that reading and signing was not
11	requested; and that I am neither counsel for,
12	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
13	this case and have no interest, financial or
14	otherwise, in its outcome.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
16	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 6th day of
17	August 2018.
18	
19	My commission expires:
20	July 31, Cept 91. Cler
21	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE
23	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
24	
25	